# Fiscal Year 2019 Local Federal Fund Exchange Program Report

# May 18, 2020

# **Background**

In the fall of 2015, the County Road Association (CRA) proposed a Local Federal Fund Exchange (LFFE) Program to the Rural Task Force (RTF) Program Advisory Board. The RTF Program Advisory Board agreed to begin a pilot program to assess the validity of an exchange program. To ensure State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) stability, and as the stewards of the federal aid process, MDOT agreed to an exchange program and developed suitable guidelines. Steve Puuri from CRA, Denise Jones, Eric Mullen, and Pam Boyd, all from the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) worked together to develop the Local Federal Fund Exchange (LFFE) Program Pilot Guidelines and Procedures document. Sample agreements and procedures were developed and put into place. The pilot called for a limit of five federal fund exchanges, to allow for any issues to be worked out.

Although there were several entities negotiating federal fund exchanges in Fiscal Year (FY) 2016, only one exchange (Montcalm County to Kent County) took place. The lack of exchanges in FY 2016 is attributed to the timing of the completed guidelines and procedures, as well as additional questions that were raised by potential participants.

Due to the lack of transactions in FY 2016, the pilot was continued in FY 2017 and the exchanges remained limited to five transactions. There were five federal fund exchange transactions completed in FY 2017. Concerns raised by RTF Program Advisory Board members and MDOT management were addressed with updates to the FY 2018 LFFE Program Guidelines. The agreement was not changed from the original template developed in 2015. The FY 2018 LFFE Program was expanded to allow 15 exchanges. Steve Puuri created a webpage on the CRA website to assist buyers and sellers in identifying potential partners for fund exchanges. This site also outlines the exchanges, funding amounts, rate of exchange, and the date the agreements are signed. Thirteen exchanges were successfully executed in FY 2018. The issues and solutions addressed are listed in *The Rural Task Force (RTF) Local Federal Fund Exchange Program Proposal for FY 2018*, Appendix A.

In FY 2018, a more formal process for handling the exchanges was developed. This included requesting transfers of Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) allocation and obligation authority through the MDOT finance office, notification to the participating counties, and the MDOT Local Agency Programs (LAP). A *Selling County Project Information* spreadsheet was developed and distributed to all the selling counties, to track the local funds that were exchanged for the federal STBG funds. This spreadsheet documented that those projects, previously listed on the S/TIP by the selling county, were completed.

# **Description of FY 2019 Program**

The process for handling and tracking exchanges was continued and refined. The Program Guidelines were further updated regarding the sellers reporting responsibilities and to outline the capability of a county road commission to enter into an agreement with a city or village that has a project in the S/TIP to include those funds in the county's exchange with the understanding that the city or village project will be funded with a portion of the non-federal exchanged funds. The Agreement Template was also updated for FY 2019 with the change in the trigger for the Buyer to pay the Seller. The old trigger was the date of obligation of the Buyer's project. It is now the date that the allocation transfer is confirmed by MDOT staff. This

was done to protect the Seller in case the Buyer did not get their project obligated in the specific fiscal year. Both of these documents can be found on the RTF website, <a href="https://www.michigan.gov/mdot/0,4616,7-151-9621">https://www.michigan.gov/mdot/0,4616,7-151-9621</a> 17216 54903---,00.html.

The FY 2019 program expanded to allow up to 30 exchanges and 18 exchanges were executed. A total of \$9,151,180 of federal funds were exchanged.

FY 2019 local federal fund exchanges

					Local	
Buying	Buying	Federal	Selling	Selling	Payback	
County	RTF	Amount	County	RTF	Amount	Date of Agreement
Clinton	6	\$380,564.00	Arenac	7d	\$304,451.20	October 25, 2018
Oakland	Urban	\$750,000.00	Leelanau	10c	\$562,500.00	October 11, 2018
Oakland	Urban	\$391,200.00	Huron	7a	\$312,960.00	November 8, 2018
Oakland	Urban	\$325,000.00	Montcalm	8a	\$243,750.00	November 29, 2018
St. Clair	1	\$328,800.00	Huron	7a	\$263,040.00	November 20, 2018
Bay	7b	\$446,843.00	Gladwin	7c	\$357,474.40	May 3, 2018
Kent		\$500,000.00	Montcalm	8a	\$375,000.00	November 14, 2018
Muskegon	14	\$222,984.00	Keweenaw	13a	\$178,387.20	August 15, 2018
Muskegon	14	\$590,351.00	Houghton	13a	\$472,280.80	August 20, 2018
Muskegon	14	\$422,971.00	Baraga	13a	\$338,376.00	August 15, 2018
Montcalm	8a	\$265,000.00	Benzie	10c	\$185,500.00	December 21, 2018
Jackson	2	\$686,451.00	Barry	3	\$549,161.00	February 5, 2019
Jackson	2	\$578,355.00	St. Joseph	3	\$462,684.00	January 22, 2019
Jackson	2	\$608,492.00	Mecosta	8a	\$486,794.00	January 18, 2019
Jackson	2	\$910,475.00	Lapeer	5	\$728,380.00	January 30, 2019
Jackson	2	\$356,516.00	Ogemaw	7d	\$285,213.00	January 23, 2019
Jackson	2	\$942,792.00	Wexford	10b	\$754,233.60	January 18, 2019
Jackson	2	\$444,386.00	Osceola	8a	\$355,508.80	February 6, 2019
Total						
Federal						
funds						
Exchanged		\$9,151,180.00				

# **Summary**

The information provided by the Sellers demonstrates that the program is beneficial to the participating agencies and the communities they serve. The exchanges are negotiated after project selection to ensure that other RTF members are not negatively affected by the exchange. All the FY 2019 STIP projects that the federal funds were exchanged from were built or are in the process of being built.

The information compiled from the *Selling County Project Information* spreadsheets for the FY 2019 LFFE Program is included in Appendix B. Comments provided by the Sellers as to the value of the program are included in Appendix C. Less comments were received in FY 2019 than in FY 2018. However, the value of the program can be seen in Appendix B where it is shown by the scope increases and additional projects completed with the exchanged funds remaining after the STIP project was completed.

## Appendix A

# The Rural Task Force (RTF) Local Federal Fund Exchange Program Proposal for FY 2018

#### **November 2, 2017**

It is the responsibility of the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) to ensure stability of the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) including a fair and transparent process for project selection and adherence to the federal transportation planning process. MDOT is recommending changes to the Local Federal Fund Exchange Program to uphold this responsibility. With the expansion of the Local Federal Fund Exchange Program, it is to be made available to all RTF agency members, including small cities, villages and transit agencies (as outlined in the Recommendations under Issue 4).

Below is a summary of issues and recommendations that have been compiled from a MDOT staff report (that evaluated the 2016 and 2017 Local Federal Fund Exchange Program pilot), notes from meetings and internal MDOT discussions.

#### Note:

The term "federal-aid projects" includes all "federal-aid eligible activities" listed in the STIP.

#### 1. Issue

To ensure that federal funds are used appropriately and verify that the non-federal transportation dollars are used to implement the seller's original federal-aid project(s), as prioritized within the Rural Task Force (RTF) project selection process.

#### Recommendations

- The Local Federal Fund Exchange Program agreements will be modified to specify the federal-aid project(s) and funding amounts, that the buying and selling agencies will utilize. Rural funding must be utilized on federal aid projects, in rural counties, as well as rural areas within urban counties (as defined by the adjusted census urban boundary).
- If the selling agency does not intend to utilize the non-federal transportation dollars that they have obtained for the prioritized federal-aid project(s), then MDOT may not allow the agreement to move forward.

#### 2. Issue

Ensure that stability of the STIP is maintained, particularly in the first two years of the STIP, as required by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA).

#### Recommendation

 In addition to the recommendations above, to ensure that the current year federal-aid project(s) in the STIP are not abandoned, the Local Federal Fund Exchange Program agreements will be modified to specify the federal-aid project(s) and funding amounts, that the buying and selling agencies will utilize.

#### 3. Issue

Federal-aid exchanges of future year funding are problematic because of the uncertainty of the amount of federal aid and the lack of ability to track any future year dollars in any financial system at MDOT.

#### Recommendations

- Federal-aid exchanges will remain limited to current fiscal year exchanges. Federal funds must be obligated in the year of allocation to avoid fiscal constraint issues in the STIP.
- The ability to bank non-federal transportation dollars and use them in subsequent years is allowed, on a limited basis, to fund larger scale projects and should be maintained as part of the Program. MDOT staff will work with the CRA Engineering Specialist to update the 2018 Local Federal Fund Exchange Program guidelines and agreements. These updates will include a tracking protocol that will identify future non-federal transportation dollar project(s) (or activities) and the year of project implementation. A two-year limit will apply to the banking of non-federal transportation dollars.

#### 4. Issue

The concerns expressed by RTF Program Advisory Board members, regarding the reduction in funding due to counties participating in the Local Federal Fund Exchange Program, could negatively impact the total funding available within the individual RTF.

# Recommendations

- Implementation of the RTF prioritized federal aid project(s) utilizing non-federal transportation dollars, must be in adherence to the RTF project selection process (ensuring participation from counties, small cities, villages and transit agencies), thus safeguarding against negative financial impacts to all agencies within the RTF.
- MDOT, working with CRA, made the Local Federal Fund Exchange Program pilot available to the County Road agencies. However, with the expansion of the Local Federal Fund Exchange Program, it is to be made available to all RTF agency members (including small cities, villages and transit agencies).
- Recognizing that other agencies have not been involved in the development and review
  of the current Local Federal Fund Exchange Program, if there is interest from other
  RTF agencies to participate, MDOT will work with the RTF Program Advisory Board
  and the requesting RTF agencies (such as Michigan Municipal League (MML) and
  Transit Association members) to determine the applicability and logistics of participating
  in the Program and establish the process and methodology for participation. The
  objective in subsequent years is to have one program agreement that can be utilized by
  all participants in the Local Federal Fund Exchange Program.

#### 5. Issue

Tracking those projects or activities using non-federal transportation dollars coming back to the selling agency (to ensure that STIP stability and the impacts to the federal aid system, can be evaluated and demonstrated).

#### Recommendations

- It is the expectation of MDOT that the RTF members will proactively work with their Regional Planning Agency (RPA) representative to monitor and track all project and funding exchanges and to ensure accuracy of financial reports pertaining to the exchange of federal and state funds.
- MDOT staff will work with the CRA Engineering Specialist to update the 2018 Local Federal Fund Exchange Program guidelines and agreements. This will include reporting requirements from the selling agency to the appropriate RPA representative. This information will then be included in the monthly status report, which is sent from the RPA representative to the MDOT RTF staff.

Note: The Act 51 report is not an effective tool for tracking federal aid exchange projects or activities, due to the timeline in which they are submitted (May) and approved (September) of the following fiscal year.

## 6. Issue

Changing federal aid allocation estimates are not specifically addressed in the current Local Federal Fund Exchange Program agreements.

#### Recommendations

- The funding amount identified in the Local Federal Fund Exchange Program agreements is (and shall remain) for a specified dollar amount. If the buyer and seller choose to adjust the agreement, an amended agreement is required, and a copy is to be provided to the MDOT RTF Coordinator.
- If there is a significant dollar change to the original agreement (exceeding \$10,000), then the amended agreement amount would need to be approved by the appropriate RTF committee (to ensure fair distribution of funds between all agencies on the RTF committee). A copy of the updated agreement and RTF meeting notes are also to be submitted to MDOT RTF Coordinator.

# 7. Issue

To ensure adherence of the objectives of the Local Federal Fund Exchange Program, non-federal transportation dollars that are returned to the seller must be used as outlined in the guidelines and agreements.

#### Recommendation

 MDOT staff will work with the CRA Engineering Specialist to update the 2018 Local Federal Fund Exchange Program guidelines and agreements to include language, stating that agencies that do not follow Program guidelines, the terms of the agreement or misuse the Program funds, will not be allowed to participate in the Local Federal Fund Exchange Program for the next four years without MDOT approval.

#### 8. Issue

Request for expansion of Local Federal Fund Exchange Program.

#### Recommendations

- Expanding the Program from five to fifteen transactions is acceptable for FY 2018, provided these recommendations are included in the Local Federal Fund Exchange Program guidelines and agreements, and MDOT receives timely tracking, reporting and accurate project updates from the RPA representatives (for assessment of the Program expansion).
- Prior to the expansion of the Local Federal Fund Exchange Program in subsequent years, MDOT will evaluate the Local Federal Fund Exchange Program. The focus will be in respect to ensuring adherence to guidelines, STIP stability, and tracking of the Program are followed. MDOT is confident that expansion of the Program is achievable, through a cooperative effort between the RTF members, RPA representatives, the RTF Program Advisory Board and MDOT.
- MDOT will provide an evaluation of the 2018 Local Federal Fund Exchange Program
  and recommended changes (if any) for the 2019 Local Federal Fund Exchange
  Program, at the RTF Program Advisory Board meeting in August 2018. Following the
  RTF Program Advisory Board meeting, MDOT staff will work with the CRA Engineering
  Specialist to finalize the FY 2019 Local Federal Fund Exchange Program guidelines
  and agreements, no later than October 1, 2018.

# 9. Issue

Consideration that a date should be set for Local Federal Fund Exchange Program agreements to be in place.

#### Recommendations

- MDOT will allow participation in the Local Federal Fund Exchange Program as late as March 31, within that fiscal year. This date is to ensure that participating agencies have the ability to obligate the funding within that fiscal year (particularly for the buying agency).
- Any agreements requested after March 31, shall only be considered by MDOT, on a
  case-by-case basis. Consideration factors will include the amount of obligation authority
  remaining, the status of project plans for delivery, etc. Each written request would
  require written MDOT acceptance (email is acceptable), prior to the execution of the
  agreement.

Note: The buying agency shall assume the risk of losing the ability of utilizing these funds, should their project not be obligated within that FY.

# Summary

Based upon analysis of the 2016 and 2017 Local Federal Fund Exchange Program pilot, MDOT recommends that program enhancements outlined above be added to the Local Federal Fund Exchange Program guidance and agreements, to enable better evaluation, monitoring and reporting. Once agreement is reached on all of the above recommendations, MDOT staff will work with the CRA Engineering Specialist to update the 2018 Local Federal Fund Exchange Program guidelines and agreements, to reflect these enhancements.

This guidance will be included in the information packet for the RTF Program Advisory Board meeting, scheduled for November 2, 2017.

# Appendix B

# 2019 local federal fund exchange Seller information

Note that many counties report on the total cost of the projects that they applied the exchanged funds to rather than just the portion of exchanged funds used on the project.

These projects where originally programmed with federal and local funds, sometimes Transportation Economic Development Fund Category D, so the total project cost would exceed the federal funds exchanged.

Arenac \$304,451.20 Received from exchange

Job Number	Fiscal Year	Project Name	Limits	Project description	Completed Date	Project Cost
			Wyatt Rd: Grove Rd to US-			_
			23 - 1.8 mile, Arenac	Asphalt Overlay - 164,264		
206361	2019	Wyatt Rd	County	exchange	20-Jun	\$180,245
			Stover Rd: Hale Rd. to	Asphalt Overlay - 140,187		
	2019	Stover Road	Arenac St. Rd 2.6	exchange	5/23/2019	\$334,668
	lumber	Number         Fiscal Year           206361         2019	Number Fiscal Year Project Name	Number Fiscal Year Project Name Limits  Wyatt Rd: Grove Rd to US- 23 - 1.8 mile, Arenac County Stover Rd: Hale Rd. to	Number Fiscal Year Project Name Limits Project description  Wyatt Rd: Grove Rd to US- 23 - 1.8 mile, Arenac Asphalt Overlay - 164,264 County exchange Stover Rd: Hale Rd. to Asphalt Overlay - 140,187	Number Fiscal Year Project Name Limits Project description Date  Wyatt Rd: Grove Rd to US- 23 - 1.8 mile, Arenac Asphalt Overlay - 164,264 County exchange 20-Jun Stover Rd: Hale Rd. to Asphalt Overlay - 140,187

**Baraga** \$338,376.80 Received from exchange

						Physical Work	
		Fiscal Year to be completed		Location/Limits	Project description	Completed Date	Project Cost
I.		•	•	From M-38 southerly for 2.4	· · ·		
	130405	2020	Gristmill Road	miles	Resurface	In Progress	
				Gristmill Road From M-38	Crush and Shape and Asphalt		
	206605	2020		Southerly 0.75 miles	Resurfacing	In Progress	
				Countywide (44 County	NBIS Bridge Inspection And		
	206622	2019	- ,	Bridges)	Compliance	9/30/2019	\$29,780
	000007	0040		Countywide, multiple roads	5	0/00/0040	<b>#</b> 00.004
	206627	2019	Countywide - Long Line	and segments	Pavement Marking	9/30/2019	\$89,381
	130406	2020	Old M-28 Road	Hickey Creek Bridge	Bridge Replacement (Matching Funds)	In Progress	

Barry	\$549,161.00	Received from exchange					
Job Number	Fiscal Year	Project Name	Limits	Project description	Completed Date	Project Cost	We generally spend 15%-25% on engineering, material testing, and administrative costs. On this project our total costs were 4.5%. This allowed us to complete much more resurfacing. The average MDOT unit rate for 36A HMA is \$64/ton our bid price was \$54/ton. This also allowed us to resurface much further.
203012	2019	Prev. Maint.	Countywide Preventative Maintenance	Overlay & chip seal - 7.86 miles	5/1/2019	\$881,311	
Benzie	\$185 500 00	Received from exchange					
Job		received from exchange	ı	I	Completed	Project	Í
Number	Fiscal Year	Project Name	Limits	Project description	Date	Cost	
130377		CR 669 Maple City Highway (949) CR 665 Lake Ann Road (952)	Fogg Road to Almira Road Nofsger to Douglas	Resurface Resurface	7/12/2019 6/28/2019	\$161,040 \$24,460	) (total project cost = \$179,341.36
Gladwin	\$357,474.40	Received from exchange					
Job Number	Fiscal Year	Project Name	Limits	Project description	Completed Date	Project Cost	
130253	2019	S Bard Rd	Bard Rd: Lyle to Beaverton & Kerswill Rd South 1 Mile, Gladwin County Howard Rd: Box Culvert over Little Cedar River,	Ultra-Thin Asphalt Overlay			Yet again we have a holdover project with Rieth-Riley, the Bard road paving project. I will update once its complete this summer.
205868	2019	Howard Rd	Gladwin County	Culvert Rehabilitation	11.1.19	\$151,554	Į.

Houghton \$472,280.80 Received from exchange
The exchange monies were used towards Father's Day Flood repairs for match monies for FHWA – ER projects. \$22.5 million worth of damage with a required match of \$4.5 million.

Huron	\$576,000.00	Received from exchange					
Job Number	Fiscal Year	Project Name	Limits	Project description	Completed Date	1 101001	we had great success with the program. We would like to continue to participate just are having a hard time finding a buyer for our Fed-Aid.
200484	2019	E Kinde Rd	Kinde Road from Verona Road east to M-25	Asphalt Overlay approximately 1.75"	10/7/2019	\$861,448	
203181	2019	W Kinde Rd	Kinde Rd Pinnebog to M-53	1.75 Inch Asphalt Overlay	10/7/2019	\$545,896	
Keweenaw	\$178,387.20	Received from exchange					
Job Number	Fiscal Year	Project Name	Limits	Project description	Completed     Date	Project Cost	
130413	2019	Gay-LacLaBelle Rd	Sta. 916+43 to Sta. 1070+6				
206306 206307		Gay Lac La Belle Rd Lac La Belle Rd	Gay-Lac La Belle Rd Lac La Belle Rd	Crush and Shape and Asphalt Resurfacing Crush and Shape and Asphalt	10/9/2019 10/9/2019	\$332,948 \$200,315	
Lapeer	\$728,380.00	Received from exchange					
Job Number	Fiscal Year	Project Name	Limits	Project description	Completed Date	Project Cost	
129915	2019	Imlay City Road	Dorrow Rd to east county line	Reconstruct	9/18/2019	\$1,643,822	
Leelanau	\$562,500.00	Received from exchange					
Job Number	Fiscal Year	Project Name	Limits	Project description	Completed Date	Project	Added 1 complete additional project, along with a portion of a 3 <sup>rd</sup> project the money funded. All the work was performed on Federally Eligible roads.
119217	2019	Benzonia Trl CR 677	Benzie County Line to M72		9/6/2019	\$424,145	
	2019	Hohnke Rd CR 620	CR 651 to CR 645	HMA Wedge and Chip seal with fog seal	7/25/2019	\$124,608	
	2019	Lighthouse Pt Rd CR 629	Knollwood Dr to Cathead Bay Rd	Trench to add 4' paved shoulders. 4' x 2 miles x 2 sides.	9/20/2019	\$13,747	

Mecosta	\$486,794	Received from exchange					
Job Number	Fiscal Year	Project Name	Limits	Project description	Completed Date	Project Cost	Received funds in June 2019. Had both projects scheduled to be completed the first week of September. Rieth Riley went on strike 7-29-19. Contacted other paving companies to see availability and cost but have not scheduled anything to date. Projects are on hold until other paving company has room in schedule or Rieth Riley goes back to work.
120664	2010	0011 A /01 1	80th Avenue/Cleveland: 13	Decempturet	- · ·	<b>#242 446</b>	
129664	2019	80th Avenue/Cleveland	Mile to 90th Ave Buchanan Rd from 100th	Reconstruct	Projects are on hold due	\$343,146	
206736	2019	Buchanan Rd	Ave to 9 mile Rd	Mill and Fill	to strike	\$143,648	
						\$486,794	Total LFFE funds received in 2019 \$486,794
Montcalm	\$375,000.00	Received from exchange					
Job Number	Fiscal Year	Project Name	Limits	Project description	Completed Date	Project Cost	
203142 206715		Eisenhower Rd E Main St	Road) Stanton Rd from M66 to	Crush & Shape & Asphalt asphalt resurfacing Single Course Chip Seal	7/30/2019 7/25/2019	\$475,000 \$143,750	
Ogemaw		Received from exchange					
Job Number	Fiscal Year	Project Name	Limits	Project description	Completed Date	Project Cost	Construction started 7-30-19
130283	2019-2020	State Road	Schagel Rd to .56 Miles East, Project ended up one mile Schagel to Beach	Reconstruct	•	\$478,780 to date	
Osceola	\$355,508.80	Received from exchange					
Job	I	1	<b>I</b>	1	Completed	Project	I
Number	Fiscal Year	Project Name	Limits	Project description	Date	Cost	
127181	2019	15 Mile	15 Mile Rd from 60th Ave to M66 15 Mile Rd from 80th Ave to	Resurfacing	6/30/2019	\$373,113	Programmed at \$444,386 Federal/\$98,674 local/ \$543,060 total
	2019	15 Mile	60th Ave	Resurfacing	6/30/2019	\$500,876 \$873,989	2 miles added to original scope

St. Joseph	\$462,684.00	Received from exchange					
Job Number	Fiscal Year	Project Name	Limits	Project description	Completed Date	Project Cost	The local federal exchange monies were wrapped into our Primary Bid Package for 2019 which included 8 locations, some of them overlays which we used the Federal Exchange Funds for, and some crush and shapes. We estimated the package at \$3,227,436.98 dollars and the bid came in at \$2,892,097.28. We have a little over 2 Million dollars of carry over work from these projects into 2020 due to the strike from Reith Riley.
200000	2040	0 10:1 0 10	Village of Centreville to	Cinale company and the constant	44/4/2040	<b>****</b>	
206626	2019	Covered Bridge Road Overlay	Schweitzer Road  Deno Road to Village of	Single course asphalt overlay	11/4/2019	\$299,544	4
	2019	Colon Road Overlay	Colon	Single course asphalt overlay	8/10/2019	\$148,51°	1
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Sherman Mill Creek to	, ,		, -,-	
	2019	Klinger Lake Road	Airline Road	Single course asphalt overlay	11/4/2019	\$111,014	4
	2019	Fawn River Road	Big Hill Road to Bauman Road	Single course asphalt overlay	NA	\$271,802	Fawn River Road was not completed in 2019 due to the strike from Reith Riley. 1 Mile of it was completed and \$123,567.08 payment was 2 spent on the project.
Wexford	\$754,233.60	Received from exchange					
Job Number	Fiscal Year	Project Name	Limits	Project description	Completed Date	Project Cost	
Number	riscai i edi	Floject Name	Lillits	Stabilize Base and pave 2	Date	CUSI	

8/28/2019 \$894,842

M-115 to south County Line courses HMA

129697

2019 Mackinaw Trail

# Appendix C

# **Barry County**

We generally spend 15%-25% on engineering, material testing, and administrative costs. On this project our total costs were 4.5%. This allowed us to complete much more resurfacing. The average MDOT unit rate for 36A HMA is \$64/ton our bid price was \$54/ton. This also allowed us to resurface much further.

# **Houghton County**

The exchange monies were used towards Father's Day Flood repairs for match monies for FHWA – ER projects. \$22.5 million worth of damage with a required match of \$4.5 million.

# **Huron County**

We had great success with the program. We would like to continue to participate just are having a hard time finding a buyer for our Fed-Aid.

# **Leelanau County**

We added one complete additional project, along with a portion of a 3rd project the exchanged funds. All the work was performed on Federally Eligible roads.

# **Mecosta County**

Received funds in June 2019. Had both projects scheduled to be completed the first week of September. Rieth Riley went on strike 7-29-19. Contacted other paving companies to see availability and cost but have not scheduled anything to date. Projects are on hold until other paving company has room in schedule or Rieth Riley goes back to work.

# **Osceola County**

2 miles added to original scope

# St. Joseph County

The local federal exchanged funds were wrapped into our Primary Bid Package for 2019 which included 8 locations, some of them overlays which we used the Federal Exchange Funds for, and some crush and shapes. We estimated the package at \$3,227,436.98 dollars and the bid came in at \$2,892,097.28. We have a little over 2 Million dollars of carry over work from these projects into 2020 due to the strike from Reith Riley.